



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/824,276	04/02/2001	Patrick L. Scheib	60446-170/00ZFM013	1018
26096	7590 07/29/2003		•	
CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C. 400 WEST MAPLE ROAD SUITE 350			EXAMINER	
			HANSEN, COLBY M	
BIRMINGHA	M, MI 48009		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3682	
			DATE MAILED: 07/29/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/824,276	SCHEIB ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Colby Hansen	3682				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the state of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mote armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee efee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: 						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(a) ☐ they raise hew issues that would require tarther consideration and/or search (see No 12 select); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the						
issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		,				
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>11,13-16 and 19-28</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
Change	- ⁷ /25/03					
S. Patent and Trademark Office	ris rv Action	Part of Paper No. 9				

PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant argues that there is no reason to combine Reynolds and Jones; Examiner disagrees, for as clearly stated within the Office Action, it would have been obvious to have equipped the analogous arts such as Reynolds with the detent mechanism of Jones so as to provide essential sensory information for the transmission, as suggested by Jones.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

2